arXiv:hep-th/9607031v1 3 Jul 1996

LPTHE-96-28

Universal R-matrix and Quantum Volterra Model

Alexander Antonov [1]

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Energies
Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Tour 16 1^{er} étage, 4 place Jussieu
75252 Paris cedex 05-France
and
Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics
Kosygina 2, GSP-1, 117940 Moscow V-334, Russia

June 1996

Abstract

In this paper we explicitly prove that Integrable System solved by Quantum Inverse Scattering Method can be described with the pure algebraic object (Universal R-matrix) and proper algebraic representations . Namely, on the example of the Quantum Volterra model we construct L-operator and fundamental R–matrix from universal R–matrix for Quantum Affine $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ Algebra and q-oscillator representation for it. In this way there exists an equivalence between the Integrable System with symmetry algebra A and the representation of this algebra.

---

[1] e-mail address: antonov@lpthe.jussieu.fr and antonov@landau.ac.ru

0 Introduction

The problem of diagonalization of an infinite set of mutually commuting Integrals of Motion (IM) for some Integrable Quantum Theory is solved by the Quantum Inverse Scattering Method (QISM) [1]. The generating function for IM is trace of monodromy matrix $T(\lambda)$ depending on some spectral parameter.

Another approach for the objects of QISM is based on so called universal R–matrix . An explicit formula for universal R–matrix for quantum affine algebras was found in [2].

For some algebra $\mathcal{A}$ the universal R–matrix lies in the square $R \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ and satisfies the Yang-Baxter (YB) eq.

$$R_{12} R_{13} R_{23} = R_{23} R_{13} R_{12},$$

in the $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$. We write $R = \sum_i A_i \otimes B_i \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ and let

$$R_{12} = \sum_i A_i \otimes B_i \otimes 1, \;\; R_{13} = \sum_i A_i \otimes 1 \otimes B_i, \;\; R_{23} = \sum_i 1 \otimes A_i \otimes B_i.$$

Now we formulate the **Main Proposition**.

The Integrable System with symmetry algebra $\mathcal{A}$ solved by QISM can be described using the universal R–matrix $R \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ for algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and its proper representations . In fact, the representation of the first algebra $\mathcal{A}$ (quantum representation) is connected with the Integrable System in consideration and the representation of the second one (auxiliary representation) establishes the sort of the QISM object (L-operator, fundamental R–matrix , Baxter Q-operator etc.)

First, it was formulated in [3].

Later we will consider only the case of $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ algebra. Monodromy matrix can be got from the universal R–matrix $R \in U_q(\widehat{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ using some quantum representation (depending on a integrable model in consideration) for first $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ algebra and auxiliary matrix $n \times n$ representation with a spectral parameter for the second $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ algebra in the square $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$.

Another example deals with the Baxter operator $Q(\lambda)$ satisfying Baxter eq. [4]

$$T(\lambda)\, Q(\lambda) = Q(q^2 \lambda) + Q(q^{-2} \lambda) \tag{1}$$

We have Baxter operator from the universal R–matrix $R \in U_q(\widehat{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ using some quantum representation for the first $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ algebra and auxiliary infinite dimensional q-oscillator representation [5] for the second $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ one in the square $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$.

According to the methods of universal R–matrix for monodromy matrix or Q- operators we specify representation only of the *second* $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ algebra for $R \in U_q(\widehat{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$, and representation of the first one (depending on a concrete model in hand) is not fixed. So application of universal R–matrix gives us *universal* technique for deriving algebraic relations between different components of the QISM [6].

In this paper we consider the infinite dimensional q-oscillator representation as a quantum one for universal R–matrix . It occurs that an integrable model described by this representation is Quantum Volterra Model [7], [8]. We derive all the Volterra QISM objects, such as L-operator and fundamental R–matrix [7] from universal R–matrix using q-oscillators as quantum representation .

The text is organized as follows. In **Section 1** we remind some key moments of QISM and interpret monodromy matrix via universal R–matrix representations. We give the explicit construction of the Volterra L-operator in terms of universal R–matrix in **Section 2**. In **Section 3** we derive Volterra fundamental R–matrix from universal R–matrix and explain its position in YB eq. The discussion and conclusion are presented in **Section 4**.

Acknowledgments.
The author is thankful to L.Baulieu and Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Énergies, Paris, France for the hospitality. The author would like to thank A.Belavin, B.Enriquez, L.Faddeev, B.Feigin, S.Khoroshkin, F.Smirnov, A.Volkov for illuminating discussions.

1 Origin of QISM Ingredients from Universal R-matrix

In this section we use the notations from [3]. The quantum L-operator is the main object of the QISM. $L_{n,a}(\lambda)$ is a matrix in an auxiliary space ν_a, the matrix elements of $L_{n,a}(\lambda)$ are operators in a Hilbert space h_n, $n = 1, \cdots, N$ associated with the site of the lattice, depending on the spectral parameter λ. The operators in different sites commute. In this way, the operator $L_{n,a}(\lambda)$ is the operator in the square $h_n \otimes \nu_a$.

The fundamental commutation relations for the matrix elements of $L_{n,a}(\lambda)$ is the YB eq.:

$$L_{n,a_1}(\mu)L_{n,a_2}(\lambda)R_{a_1,a_2}(\lambda/\mu) = R_{a_1,a_2}(\lambda/\mu)L_{n,a_2}(\lambda)L_{n,a_1}(\mu) \tag{2}$$

This is an equation in $h_n \otimes \nu_{a_1} \otimes \nu_{a_2}$. The indices a_1 and a_2 and the variables λ and μ are associated with the auxiliary spaces ν_1 and ν_2, respectively. The matrix R_{a_1,a_2} is one in the space $\nu_{a_1} \otimes \nu_{a_2}$.

Further, we consider only the special form of R_{a_1,a_2}- matrix, so called trigonometric R-matrix, 4×4. A lot of interesting models on a lattice (XXZ spin model, the lattice Sine-Gordon system, Volterra system etc.) and in continuum are described by the trigonometric R-matrix.

Another important object of the QISM is the monodromy matrix

$$M_a(\lambda) = L_{N,a}(\lambda)L_{N-1,a}(\lambda) \cdots L_{1,a}(\lambda). \tag{3}$$

The monodromy matrix satisfies commutation relations identical with the YB ones for L-operators (2). It follows that the traces $T_a(\lambda) = \mathrm{tr}_{\nu_a} M_a(\lambda)$ over the auxiliary spaces of the monodromy matrix with the different parameters commute $[T_{a_1}(\lambda), T_{a_2}(\mu)] = 0$. That is why the trace of the monodromy matrix is the generating function for the integrals of motion.

It is useful to consider so called fundamental R-matrix [9], $L_{n_1,n_2}(\lambda)$, i.e. the operator in $h_{n_1} \otimes h_{n_2}$. In other words the auxiliary space coincides with the quantum one. The trace of the monodromy matrix for the fundamental R-matrix gives the set of *local* integrals of motion.

It is possible to describe all the objects of QISM (trigonometric R- matrix, L- operator and monodromy matrix as well as fundamental R-matrix) with the only algebraic structure— universal R–matrix .

We will briefly remind some facts about it [2]. Below, we consider the simple case of the affine quantum $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ algebra with Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij}),\ i,j = 0,1,\ A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. This is an associative algebra with generators $e_{\pm\alpha_i},\ k^{\pm 1}_{\alpha_i} (= q^{\pm h_{\alpha_i}}),\ (i = 0,1)$, and the defining relations

$$[k^{\pm 1}_{\alpha_i}, k^{\pm 1}_{\alpha_j}] = 0, \qquad k_{\alpha_i} e_{\pm\alpha_j} = q^{\pm(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)} e_{\pm\alpha_j} k_{\alpha_i},$$

$$[e_{\alpha_i}, e_{-\alpha_j}] = \delta_{ij} \frac{k_{\alpha_i} - k^{-1}_{\alpha_i}}{q - q^{-1}},$$

$$(ad_{q'} e_{\pm\alpha_i})^{1-a_{ij}} e_{\pm\alpha_j} = 0 \qquad \text{for} \quad i \neq j,\ q' = q, q^{-1},$$

where $(ad_q e_\alpha) e_\beta$ is a q-commutator:

$$(ad_q e_\alpha) e_\beta \equiv [e_\alpha, e_\beta]_q = e_\alpha e_\beta - q^{(\alpha,\beta)} e_\beta e_\alpha$$

and (α, β) is a scalar product of the roots α and β: $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = a_{ij}$. The condition $1 = k_{\alpha_0} k_{\alpha_1}$ is also imposed.

We define a comultiplication in $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ by the formulas

$$\Delta(k_{\alpha_i}) = k_{\alpha_i} \otimes k_{\alpha_i},$$

$$\Delta(e_{\alpha_i}) = e_{\alpha_i} \otimes k_{\alpha_i} + 1 \otimes e_{\alpha_i} \quad \Delta(e_{-\alpha_i}) = e_{-\alpha_i} \otimes 1 + k^{-1}_{\alpha_i} \otimes e_{-\alpha_i},$$

and the twisted comultiplication

$$\Delta'(k_{\alpha_i}) = k_{\alpha_i} \otimes k_{\alpha_i},$$

$$\Delta'(e_{\alpha_i}) = k_{\alpha_i} \otimes e_{\alpha_i} + e_{\alpha_i} \otimes 1, \quad \Delta'(e_{-\alpha_i}) = 1 \otimes e_{-\alpha_i} + e_{-\alpha_i} \otimes k^{-1}_{\alpha_i},$$

By the definition, the universal R–matrix is an object R in $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ such that $\Delta'(g) R = R \Delta(g)$, for any $g \in U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ and

$$R_{12} R_{13} R_{23} = R_{23} R_{13} R_{12}, \tag{4}$$

which is the universal form of the YB eq. in the $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\widehat{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$. We write $R = \sum_i A_i \otimes B_i \in U_q(\widehat{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ and let

$$R_{12} = \sum_i A_i \otimes B_i \otimes 1,\ R_{13} = \sum_i A_i \otimes 1 \otimes B_i,\ R_{23} = \sum_i 1 \otimes A_i \otimes B_i.$$

Along with the commutation relations for $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ we need an antiinvolution $(^*)$, defined as $(k_{\alpha_i})^* = k^{-1}_{\alpha_i},\ (e_{\pm\alpha_i})^* = e_{\mp\alpha_i},\ (q)^* = q^{-1}$.

We use also the following standard notations for q-exponent and q-numbers

$$\exp_q(x) := 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{(2)_q!} + \ldots + \frac{x^n}{(n)_q!} + \ldots = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^n}{(n)_q!},$$

$$(a)_q := \frac{q^a-1}{q-1}, \qquad [a]_q := \frac{q^a-q^{-a}}{q-q^{-1}}$$

Now we define the Cartan-Weyl generators of the $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$. Let α_1 and $\alpha_0 = \delta - \alpha_1$ are simple roots for the affine algebra $\widehat{sl_2}$ then $\delta = \alpha_1 + \beta$ is a minimal imaginary root. We fix the following normal ordering in the system of the positive roots:

$$\alpha_1,\ \alpha_1+\delta, \alpha_1+2\delta \ldots, \delta,\ 2\delta, \ldots, \ldots, \alpha_0+2\delta, \alpha_0+\delta, \alpha_0\ .$$

We put

$$\begin{aligned} e'_\delta &= e_\delta = [e_{\alpha_1}, e_{\alpha_0}]_q\ , \\ e_{\alpha_1+l\delta} &= (-1)^l \left([2]_q\right)^{-l} (ad\ e'_\delta)^l e_{\alpha_1}\ , \\ e_{\alpha_0+l\delta} &= \left([2]_q\right)^{-l} (ad\ e'_\delta)^l e_{\alpha_0}\ , \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

$$e'_{l\delta} = [e_{\alpha_1+(l-1)\delta}, e_{\alpha_0}]_q.$$

Let $E(z)$ and $E'(z)$ be the generating functions for $e_{n\delta}$ and for $e'_{n\delta}$:

$$E(z) = \sum_{n\geq 1} e_{n\delta} z^{-n}, \qquad E'(z) = \sum_{n\geq 1} e'_{n\delta} z^{-n}, \tag{6}$$

connected via

$$(q-q^{-1})E(z) = \log\Big(1 + (q-q^{-1})E'(z)\Big)$$

The negative root vectors are given by the rule $e_{-\gamma} = e^*_\gamma$.

The universal R-matrix for $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ has the following form [2]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} = &\left(\prod_{n\geq 0}^{\rightarrow} \exp_{q^{-2}}\Big((q-q^{-1}) e_{\alpha_1+n\delta} \otimes e_{-\alpha_1-n\delta}\Big)\right) \cdot \\ &\exp\left(\sum_{n>0} (q-q^{-1}) \frac{n(e_{n\delta}\otimes e_{-n\delta})}{[2n]_q}\right) \cdot \\ &\left(\prod_{n\geq 0}^{\leftarrow} \exp_{q^{-2}}\Big((q-q^{-1}) e_{\alpha_0+n\delta} \otimes e_{-\alpha_0-n\delta}\Big)\right) \cdot \mathcal{K} \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where the order of n is direct in the first product and it is inverse in the second one. Factor $\mathcal{K}$ is defined by the formula:

$$\mathcal{K} = q^{\frac{h_\alpha \otimes h_\alpha}{2}}$$

We know (7) that universal R–matrix belongs to the square of $U_q(b_+) \otimes U_q(b_-)$, where $b_\pm$ are positive (negative) borel subalgebras of $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$, generated by e_{α_i}, $k^{\pm 1}_{\alpha_i}$ and $e_{-\alpha_i}$, $k^{\pm 1}_{\alpha_i}$, $(i = 0, 1)$, respectively.

One can present QISM L-operators from the universal R–matrix using the certain representation of $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$. For example, the trigonometric 4×4 R- matrixis got from the universal R–matrix representing $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ in terms of matrix 2×2 with the spectral parameter.

It is possible to get the $L_{n,a}(\lambda)$ operator from the universal R–matrix $R \in U_q(b_+) \otimes U_q(b_-)$ representing the first algebra $U_q(b_+)$ in quantum (infinite dimensional) space and the second one $U_q(b_-)$ in matrixes with the spectral parameter (auxiliary representation). Substituting the comultiplied $\Delta^{(N-1)}U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ quantum space generators in the $U_q(b_+)$ part of the universal R–matrix we get the monodromy matrix of N-sites (3).

Now we illustrate the YB eq. (2) from the point of view of the universal one (4). Eq. (4) is one for the algebraic elements in $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\widehat{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$. To get the eq. (2) we represent the first algebra in quantum space h_n, the second and the third one — in finite dimensional (with respect to $U_q(sl_2)$) representations, say matrix with the spectral parameter.

2 Explicit Construction of Volterra L-operator from Universal R-matrix

Quantum Volterra L-operator

$$L(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} u & -\lambda v^{-1} \\ \lambda v & u^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{8}$$

was introduced in [7] using the Weyl pair u and v

$$uv = qvu \tag{9}$$

Volterra L-operator satisfies YB eq. (2) for the trigonometric R-matrix. To derive L-operator (8) from the universal R–matrix we will use the q-oscillator representation. The importance of this representation was pointed out in [5].

It was shown that one can get Baxter $Q(\lambda)$ operator from $R = \sum_i A_i \otimes B_i \in U_q(b_+) \otimes U_q(b_-)$ by the following auxiliary q-oscillator representation $V_\pm$ of the second algebra $U_q(b_-)$

$$e_{\alpha_0} e_{\alpha_1} - q^2 e_{\alpha_1} e_{\alpha_0} = \frac{\lambda^2}{q^{-2} - 1} \qquad \text{(the representation } V_+(\lambda))$$

and

$$e_{\alpha_1} e_{\alpha_0} - q^2 e_{\alpha_0} e_{\alpha_1} = \frac{\lambda^2}{q^{-2} - 1}, \qquad \text{(the representation } V_-(\lambda)),$$

we have

$$Q_+(\lambda) = \mathrm{tr}_{V_+(\lambda)} R \qquad Q_-(\lambda) = \mathrm{tr}_{V_-(\lambda)} R$$

for *any* quantum representation of $U_q(b_+)$ (for *any* integrable model) [1]. Such Q- operators satisfies Baxter eq. (1).

---

[1] We choose the infinite dimensional representation space $V_\pm(\lambda)$ such that the trace $Q_\pm(\lambda) = \mathrm{tr}_{V_\pm(\lambda)} R$ exists.

Here, q-oscillator representation (as auxiliary one) describes *the sort* of the QISM object: it gives Baxter Q-operator for any quantum representation of $U_q(b_+)$.

Now natural question arises:

Which Integrable Model corresponds to q-oscillator representation as a quantum one, i.e. the representation of $U_q(b_+)$ *algebra for* $R = \sum_i A_i \otimes B_i \in U_q(b_+) \otimes U_q(b_-)$*?*

We will prove that this system is the Quantum Volterra Model. For constructing Volterra L-operator we use the special case of $V_\pm(\lambda)$ representations . Namely, define $U_q(b_+)$ algebra representation $W(\lambda)$ as follows

$$e_{\alpha_1} = \lambda e(q - q^{-1})^{-1}, \qquad e_{\alpha_0} = \lambda e^{-1}(q - q^{-1})^{-1}, \qquad k_{\alpha_1} = k (= q^h) \tag{10}$$

where $ke = q^2 ek$. (Representation $V_\pm(\lambda)$ degenerates in commuting e_{α_1} and e_{α_0} generators).

According to the definition of algebra generators (5) we have

$$e'_\delta = \frac{\lambda^2}{q^2 - 1}$$

Using the transformation (6) one obtains

$$e_{n\delta} = (-)^{n+1} \frac{q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \frac{\lambda^{2n}}{n} \tag{11}$$

with the other $U_q(b_+)$ generators

$$e_{\alpha_1 + l\delta} = e_{\alpha_0 + l\delta} = 0 \text{ for } l = 1, 2, ... \tag{12}$$

For constructing L-operator for Volterra model consider the evaluation representation for the $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ in terms of $U_q(sl_2) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\lambda, \lambda^{-1}]$

$$e_{\pm\alpha_1} = \lambda^{\pm 1} e_{\pm\alpha}, \qquad e_{\pm\alpha_0} = -\lambda^{\pm 1} e_{\mp\alpha}, \qquad k_{\alpha_1} = k_\alpha, \tag{13}$$

where $U_q(sl_2)$ algebra has generators $e_{\pm\alpha}$ and $k_\alpha^{\pm 1}$ with the ordinary commutation relations

$$k_\alpha e_{\pm\alpha} = q^{\pm 2} e_{\pm\alpha} k_\alpha, \qquad [e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}] = \frac{k_\alpha - k_\alpha^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}},$$

Introduce the irreducible 2 -dimensional matrix representation of $U_q(sl_2)$.

$$e_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; e_{-\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; k_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{14}$$

One can define the evaluation representation of the affine $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ algebra from (13) and the representation (14) of the simple $U_q(sl_2)$ algebra. For the generators we have

$$e_{\alpha_1 + m\delta} = \lambda^{2m+1} k_\alpha^{-m} e_\alpha, \qquad e_{\alpha_0 + m\delta} = -\lambda^{2m+1} e_{-\alpha} k_\alpha^{-m}, \tag{15}$$

and also

$$e_{-(\alpha_1 + m\delta)} = \lambda^{-2m-1} e_{-\alpha} k_\alpha^m, \qquad e_{-(\alpha_0 + m\delta)} = -\lambda^{-2m-1} k_\alpha^m e_\alpha \tag{16}$$

and for imaginary root vectors

$$e_{m\delta} = -\frac{q^{m} + q^{-3m} - k_{\alpha}^{-m} - q^{-2m}k_{\alpha}^{-m}}{m(q-q^{-1})}\lambda^{2m} \tag{17}$$

$$e_{-m\delta} = \frac{q^{-m} + q^{3m} - k_{\alpha}^{m} - q^{2m}k_{\alpha}^{m}}{m(q-q^{-1})}\lambda^{-2m} \tag{18}$$

Now we are in position to derive Volterra L-operator from universal R–matrix . Let us denote by $L_{\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda)$ some L-operator got from universal R–matrix $R = \sum_i A_i \otimes B_i \in U_q(b_+) \otimes U_q(b_-)$ by following representation

- the first algebra $U_q(b_+)$ of the universal R–matrix is represented in quantum space $W(\lambda)$;
- for the second algebra $U_q(b_-)$ we take the auxiliary evaluation representation of $U_q(sl_2)$ spin $\frac{1}{2}$.

The first and the third factors in formula (7) can be evaluated easily

$$\left(\prod_{n\geq 0}^{\rightarrow} \exp_{q^{-2}}\left((q-q^{-1})e_{\alpha_1+n\delta}\otimes e_{-\alpha_1-n\delta}\right)\right) = 1 + (q-q^{-1})e_{\alpha_1}\otimes e_{-\alpha_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda e & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\left(\prod_{n\geq 0}^{\leftarrow} \exp_{q^{-2}}\left((q-q^{-1})e_{\alpha_0+n\delta}\otimes e_{-\alpha_0-n\delta}\right)\right) = 1 + (q-q^{-1})e_{\alpha_0}\otimes e_{-\alpha_0} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\lambda e^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

because of the property (12).

Using the formulae (11) and (18) we have the second factor (up to a constant one)

$$\exp\left(\sum_{n>0}(q-q^{-1})\frac{n(e_{n\delta}\otimes e_{-n\delta})}{[2n]_q}\right) = \exp\left((q-q^{-1})\sum_{n>0}(-)^n\frac{\lambda^{2n}}{n}\right)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1+\lambda^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The factor $\mathcal{K}$ reduces to

$$\mathcal{K} = q^{\frac{h_\alpha \otimes h_\alpha}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} k^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Finally, one gets the expression for $L_{\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda)$ operator

$$L_{\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} k^{\frac{1}{2}} & -\lambda e^{-1}k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ \lambda e k^{\frac{1}{2}} & k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{19}$$

Choosing

$$e = vu^{-1} \text{ and } k = u^2$$

we see that $L_{\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda)$ coincides with Volterra L-operator (8).

3 Fundamental R-matrix for Volterra Model

In this chapter we will construct Volterra model fundamental R–matrix R_f, derived in [10] and [7]. Introduce $U_q(b_-)$ algebra representation $\tilde{W}(\lambda)$ as follows

$$e_{-\alpha_1} = \lambda^{-1}\tilde{e}^{-1}(q-q^{-1})^{-1}, \qquad e_{-\alpha_0} = \lambda^{-1}\tilde{e}(q-q^{-1})^{-1}, \qquad k_{\alpha_1} = \tilde{k}(= q^{\tilde{h}}) \tag{20}$$

(where $\tilde{k}\tilde{e} = q^2\tilde{e}\tilde{k}$), with the other $U_q(b_-)$ generators

$$e_{-\alpha_1+l\delta} = e_{-\alpha_0+l\delta} = 0, \;\; e_{-(l+1)\delta} = const \;\text{ for }\; l = 1,2,... \tag{21}$$

To get R_f from universal R–matrix $R = \sum_i A_i \otimes B_i \in U_q(b_+) \otimes U_q(b_-)$ one needs to represent $U_q(b_+)$ algebra in quantum space $W(\lambda)$ (10)-(12) and $U_q(b_-)$ algebra in space $\tilde{W}(\lambda)$ (20)-(21). According to the definition of the fundamental R–matrix the quantum space coincides with the auxiliary one.

In this way the first and the third factors in formula (7) can be evaluated easily

$$\left(\prod_{n\geq 0}^{\rightarrow} \exp_{q^{-2}}\left((q-q^{-1})e_{\alpha_1+n\delta} \otimes e_{-\alpha_1-n\delta}\right)\right) = \exp_{q^{-2}}\left((q-q^{-1})^{-1}e \otimes \tilde{e}^{-1}\lambda\right)$$

and

$$\left(\prod_{n\geq 0}^{\leftarrow} \exp_{q^{-2}}\left((q-q^{-1})e_{\alpha_0+n\delta} \otimes e_{-\alpha_0-n\delta}\right)\right) = \exp_{q^{-2}}\left((q-q^{-1})^{-1}e^{-1} \otimes \tilde{e}\lambda\right)$$

because of the statements (12) and (21). We see that imaginary roots for both $U_q(b_+)$ and $U_q(b_-)$ representations are some constants, so calculating fundamental R–matrix up to a constant factor one can omit the exponent containing imaginary roots.

Finally, we have

$$R_f(\lambda) = \exp_{q^{-2}}\left((q-q^{-1})^{-1}e \otimes \tilde{e}^{-1}\lambda\right) \cdot \exp_{q^{-2}}\left((q-q^{-1})^{-1}e^{-1} \otimes \tilde{e}\lambda\right) \cdot q^{\frac{h\otimes\tilde{h}}{2}} \tag{22}$$

Now we show the place of the fundamental R–matrix in YB eq. The universal form of YB eq. reads [2]

$$R_{12}R_{13}R_{23} = R_{23}R_{13}R_{12} \in U_q(b_+) \otimes U_q(\widehat{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(b_-)$$

Here for the first $U_q(b_+)$ algebra we choose the representation $W(\lambda) \equiv n_1$, for the second one $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ — the evaluation representation $\equiv a$ and for the third one — the representation $\tilde{W}(\lambda) \equiv n_2$.

In this way one has

$$L_{n_1,a}(\lambda)R_{n_1,n_2}(\mu\lambda)L_{a,n_2}(\mu) = L_{a,n_2}(\mu)R_{n_1,n_2}(\mu\lambda)L_{n_1,a}(\lambda), \tag{23}$$

---

[2] Note that it is impossible to enlarge the representation $W(\lambda)$ from $U_q(b_+)$ to the whole algebra $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$.

where $L_{n_1,a}(\lambda)$ is the Volterra L-operator and $R_{n_1,n_2}(\lambda) \equiv R_f(\lambda)$ is the Volterra fundamental R–matrix .

Let us denote the trace of monodromy matrix for fundamental R–matrix as follows

$$I(\lambda) = \mathrm{tr}_h R_{N,h}(\lambda) R_{N-1,h}(\lambda) \cdots L_{1,h}(\lambda).$$

The eq. (23) guarantees the commutativity of $I(\lambda)$ and the trace $T_a(\lambda) = \mathrm{tr}_{\nu_a} M_a(\lambda)$, see the formula (3).

If we write the generators in terms of Weyl pair (9)

$$e = vu^{-1}, \qquad k = u^2,$$

$$\tilde{e} = vu^{-3}, \qquad \tilde{k} = u^2$$

we get the expression for $R_f(\lambda)$

$$R_f(\lambda) = \exp_{q^{-2}}\left((q-q^{-1})^{-1} vu^{-1} \otimes u^3 v^{-1} \lambda\right) \cdot \exp_{q^{-2}}\left((q-q^{-1})^{-1} uv^{-1} \otimes vu^{-3} \lambda\right) \cdot q^{\frac{h_\alpha \otimes h_\alpha}{2}}$$

And after normalization one gets the formula for fundamental R–matrix

$$r(\lambda, w) = R_f(1)^{-1} R_f(\lambda) = \frac{\exp_{q^{-2}}((q-q^{-1})^{-1} w\lambda) \exp_{q^{-2}}((q-q^{-1})^{-1} w^{-1}\lambda)}{\exp_{q^{-2}}((q-q^{-1})^{-1} w) \exp_{q^{-2}}((q-q^{-1})^{-1} w^{-1})} \tag{24}$$

where $w = vu \otimes uv^{-1}$.

In fact, the function $r(\lambda, w)$ satisfies the YB eq.

$$r(\lambda, w_{12}) r(\lambda\mu, w_{23}) r(\mu, w_{12}) = r(\mu, w_{23}) r(\lambda\mu, w_{12}) r(\lambda, w_{23})$$

with $w_{12} = vu \otimes uv^{-1} \otimes 1$ and $w_{23} = 1 \otimes vu \otimes uv^{-1}$.

The following solution of this eq. has been found in [10]

$$r(\lambda, w) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\lambda)(q-\lambda q^{-1}) \ldots (q^{k-1} - \lambda q^{-k+1})}{(q^{-1} - \lambda q) \ldots (q^{-k} - \lambda q^k)} w^k$$

In [7] it was noticed that r-matrix $r(\lambda, w)$ satisfies the functional eq.

$$\frac{r(\lambda, wq)}{r(\lambda, wq^{-1})} = \frac{1 + \lambda w}{\lambda + w}$$

To prove this fact it is sufficient to represent q-exponent as an infinite product

$$\exp_{q^{-2}}((q-q^{-1})^{-1} w) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 + q^{2k+1} w)$$

Finally, multiplicative form (24) was found in [11].

One can write the standard form of YB eq. for fundamental R–matrix $P \cdot r(\lambda)$ (24) and for Volterra L-operator (8) [3]

$$L_{n_2,a}(\lambda) L_{n_1,a}(\mu) P \cdot r(\mu/\lambda) = P \cdot r(\mu/\lambda) L_{n_1,a}(\mu) L_{n_2,a}(\lambda)$$

---

[3] P is the permutation operator: $P \cdot w_1 \otimes w_2 = w_2 \otimes w_1$

4 Discussion and Concluding Remarks

In this paper we explicitly demonstrated the role of the universal R–matrix in the formalism of QISM on the example of Quantum Volterra model.

Starting from the universal R–matrix $R = \sum_i A_i \otimes B_i \in U_q(b_+) \otimes U_q(b_-)$ we found that the quantum representation for Volterra Model coincides with q-oscillator representation . In this way we derived Volterra L-operator and fundamental R–matrix . The degenerated q-oscillator representation is the simplest for $U_q(b_+)$ algebra.

It is interesting to generalize the one-to-one correspondence between Integrable Model and quantum representation for universal R–matrix from q-oscillators to other representations of $U_q(b_+)$.

For example, let V_μ be Verma module for $U_q(sl_2)$ with the highest weight $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Then we denote the evaluation representation of the affine $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ algebra as $V_\mu(\lambda)$. Quantum L-operator derived with this representation from universal R–matrix is

$$L(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} k_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda^2 q^{-1} k_\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} & -\lambda e_{-\alpha} k_\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} (q - q^{-1}) \\ \lambda e_\alpha k_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} (q - q^{-1}) & k_\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda^2 q^{-1} k_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $e_{\pm\alpha}$ and $k_\alpha^{\pm 1}$ are $U_q(sl_2)$ generators. This L-operator reminds one for the Lattice Sine-Gordon Model [12].

References

[1] L. Faddeev, E. Sklyanin and L. Takhtajan: Quantum inverse scattering method I. Theor. Math. Phys. **40**, (1979), p.194 (in Russian),

[2] S.Khoroshkin, A.Stolin and V.Tolstoy, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A 10**, # 19 (1995) p.1375,

[3] L.Faddeev, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 10**, # 13 (1995) p.1845,

[4] R. Baxter: Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics. London: Academic Press 1982,

[5] V. Bazhanov, S.Lukyanov and A.Zamolodchikov, Integrable Structure of Conformal Field Theory II. Q-operator and DDV equation, hep-th/9604044,

[6] A. Antonov and B. Feigin, Quantum Group Representations and Baxter Equation, hep-th/9603105,

[7] A.Volkov, Phys. Lett. **A 167** (1992), p.345,

[8] O.Babelon, Phys. Lett. **B 238** (1990), p.234,

[9] V. Tarasov, L. A. Takhtajan, L. D. Faddeev, Theor. Math. Phys. **57**, (1983), p.163,

[10] V.Fateev, A.B.Zamolodchikov, Phys. Lett. **A 92** (1982), p.37,

[11] L.D. Faddeev, A.Volkov, Phys. Lett. **B 315** (1993), p.311,

[12] A.Izergin, V.Korepin, Lett. Math. Phys., **5** (1981), p.199.